

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269399185>

# Nutrients intake in patients with Huntington disease and its relevance to their nutritional status and disease's severity.

ARTICLE *in* EXPERIMENTAL AND CLINICAL CARDIOLOGY · JANUARY 2014

Impact Factor: 0.76

---

READS

40

## 4 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



[Marcin Wnuk](#)

Adam Mickiewicz University

11 PUBLICATIONS 16 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Jerzy Marcinkowski](#)

Poznan University of Medical Sciences

84 PUBLICATIONS 174 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Daniel Cezary Zielonka](#)

Poznan University of Medical Sciences

33 PUBLICATIONS 77 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

---

**EXPERIMENTAL & CLINICAL CARDIOLOGY**

---

Volume 20, Issue 9, 2014

Title: "Nutrients Intake in Patients with Huntington Disease and Its Relevance to Their Nutritional Status and Disease's Severity"

Authors: Angelika Kargulewicz, Marcin Wnuk, Jerzy Marcinkowski and Daniel Zielonka

How to reference: Nutrients Intake in Patients with Huntington Disease and Its Relevance to Their Nutritional Status and Disease's Severity/Angelika Kargulewicz, Marcin Wnuk, Jerzy Marcinkowski and Daniel Zielonka/Exp Clin Cardiol Vol 20 Issue9 pages 4768-4789 / 2014

Experimental & Clinical Cardiology

Nutrients intake in patients with Huntington disease and its relevance to their nutritional status and disease's severity

Angelika Kargulewicz<sup>a</sup>, Marcin Wnuk<sup>b</sup>, Jerzy Marcinkowski<sup>b</sup> and Daniel Zielonka<sup>b\*</sup>

a –Katedra i Klinika Chorób Wewnętrznych, Metabolicznych i Dietetyki Uniwersytetu Medycznego im. Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu, Polska

b – Katedra Medycyny Społecznej Uniwersytetu Medycznego im. Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu, Polska

\*Corresponding author:

dr n. med. Daniel Zielonka

Katedra Medycyny Społecznej

Uniwersytet Medyczny im. Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu

Ul. Rokietnicka 5C

60-806 Poznań

Tel: 618547389

Fax: 618547390

e-mail: daniel.zielonka@wp.pl

## **Abstract**

### **Introduction and objective**

Huntington Disease (HD) is a rare neurodegenerative disorder which is characterized by psychiatric, cognitive and motor progressive impairment. The aim of our study was to compare the nutritional status with calorie and nutrients intake between HD patients and healthy individuals and determine the correlations between nutritional status as well as macro- and micronutrient intake and severity of HD.

### **Materials and methods**

Research encompassed 26 genetically tested HD patients as a Huntington's disease group (HDG) and 26 healthy individuals as a control group (CG). To assess patients clinically UHDRS motor, functional, independence, behavior and cognitive tests were performed.

### **Results**

Higher intake of vitamin D in HDG correlated with higher fat content, higher BMI and lower annual BMI decrement. Higher intake of fat improved TFC, cognitive general and Stroop's test in HDG. Linear Regression in HDG revealed that predictor of BMI was vitamin D intake and TMS.

### **Conclusions**

Nutritional value of daily diet of patients with HD needs to be improved. Of great importance is an adequate fat, carbohydrates and vitamin D intake which affect - body fat content and comply with physical and mental ability in patients with HD.

**Key words:** Huntington disease, nutrients intake, weight loss, body mass index, fat content

## 1. Introduction

Huntington Disease (HD) is an autosomal, dominant neurodegenerative disorder which is characterized by psychiatric, cognitive and motor progressive impairment. In the course of the disease patients' develop choreatic movements often accompanied or preceded by depression, irritability, anxiety, aggression or any other psychiatric symptoms and cognitive decline usually displayed as executive function impairment, lose of flexibility of mind and psychomotor processes retardation [1-7]. Disease is caused by mutation in *HTT* gene that results in expansion of a CAG repeat [2]. A disease-modifying treatment for patients with HD is not available [1-4].

One feature of the HD is weight loss which is not associated with anorexia but rather with increased energy expenditure, particularly with spontaneous physical activity caused by chorea [1]. Surprisingly it was reported that patients with HD despite weight loss, consume more calories than unaffected individuals [10, 11]. Some observations explained that unintended weight lose might be caused by central degeneration in the cortex, striatum and hypothalamus which in turn may affect food intake or energy expenditure [9]. It is believed that patients with HD present increased basal metabolic rate which may be also a cause of weight loss [3]. Body weight is reported to be a strong predictor of disease progression [10, 12] and with the course of the disease body weight is slightly decreasing in early and mild stages leading to dramatic decrease in advanced stages [13]. Furthermore, it was also reported that lower BMI (Body Mass Index) value is associated with higher risk of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in elderly people [14].

## 2. Objectives

The aim of our study was to compare the nutritional status with calorie and nutrients intake between two groups, patients with HD and healthy individuals. We intended also to determine the correlations between nutritional status as well as macro- and micronutrient intake and severity of HD.

### 3. Material and methods

#### 3.1 Participants

All participants of the study were enrolled between January 2011 and April 2012. Research encompassed 26 genetically tested and confirmed patients with HD and 26 healthy individuals as a control group (CG). Subjects in CG were caregivers of HD patients, so the dietary patterns were expected to be similar due to the same social environment. Due to this fact any discrepancies between Huntington's disease group (HDG) and CG in food intake could result rather from individual preferences modified by course of the disease than social environment.

Patients with HD were participants of REGISTRY study by European Huntington Disease Network (EHDN). REGISTRY is an international, multicenter observational study on clinical features and progression of HD [15]. For purpose of this study UHDRS (The Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale) motor, chorea scale, functional, independence, behavior, cognitive assessments were performed in HD group. TFC (Total Functional Capacity), GCI (Global Clinical Impression) scales (appendix 1) were done in each patient and disease duration, disease burden [15], based on CAG repeats number in larger *HTT* allele in all affected participants were calculated.

At the time of the visit each patient was weighed and body fat content was measured using TANITA MC 980 MA. The research tool was 24-h diet recall, which was performed in each subject in the presence of caregiver and HD patient. Nutritional data were analyzed using Dietetyk2006 software. BMI value was calculated in each subject twice, at interval of one year and the difference between these two calculations was called BMI shift.

All participants gave informed written consent according to the International Conference on Harmonisation – Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) guidelines (<http://www.ich.org/LOB/media/MEDIA482.pdf>). Ethical approval was obtained from the local ethics committee of Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland. The REGISTRY protocol was approved by the EHDN Scientific and Bioethics Advisory Committee and by local ethical committee of Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland.

### 3.2 Statistical analysis

To calculate disease burden following calculation was used:  $(\text{CAGn}_{\text{larger allele}} - 35.5) * \text{age at examination}$  [16]. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS. To calculate differences between groups t-student test was used and to calculate correlations r-Pearson test was used. Linear regression was used to verify potential predictors of BMI, annual BMI shift, fat content and body mass, introducing to regression equation variables which statistically correlated respectively with BMI, annual BMI shift fat content, body mass (table 5). Lacking data were not implemented. P-values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered significant.

## 4. Results

No significant differences between HDG and CG in caloric, nutrients, vitamins and minerals intake were observed (table 1). One exception was a finding that patients with HD consumed twice as less of vitamin C as healthy individuals (55.59 vs. 135.41 mg).

<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Patients with HD</i>	<i>Control Group</i>	<i>P</i>
<b><i>General groups characteristics</i></b>			
<b>Age (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	50.73 $\pm$ 11.30	38.04 $\pm$ 18.54	0.004
<b>Body mass (kg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	63.21 $\pm$ 21.22	68.47 $\pm$ 14.57	0.556
<b>Height (cm, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	170.38 $\pm$ 8.92	172.54 $\pm$ 10.38	0.426
<b>Fat content (% , mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	19.55 $\pm$ 12.76	23.99 $\pm$ 7.94	0.212
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	23.35 $\pm$ 3.44	22.81 $\pm$ 3.03	0.856
<b>Annual BMI shift (kg/m<sup>2</sup> <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	- 0.46 $\pm$ 2.01	-	-
<b>Calorie intake (kcal, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	2504.34 $\pm$ 641,16	2427.76 $\pm$ 1322.96	0.986
<b><i>HD group characteristics</i></b>			
<b>CAG repeats large allele (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	42.58 $\pm$ 9.26	-	-
<b>TMS (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	47.92 $\pm$ 27.19	-	-
<b>GCI (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	2.46 $\pm$ 1.24	-	-
<b>Independence (% , mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	64.23 $\pm$ 27.26	-	-
<b>Disease burden (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	415.48 $\pm$ 130.72	-	-
<b>Chorea (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	12.08 $\pm$ 6.57	-	-
<b>Function (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	18.31 $\pm$ 6.40	-	-
<b>TFC (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	8.50 $\pm$ 3.57	-	-
<b>Behaviour (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1131 $\pm$ 10.66	-	-
<b>Cognitive (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	148.85 $\pm$ 105.55	-	-
<b>Verbal fluency test (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	41.11 $\pm$ 51.17	-	-
<b>SDMT (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	16.92 $\pm$ 11.16	-	-
<b>Stroop's test (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	90.81 $\pm$ 54.61	-	-
<b>Duration of disease (years, (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	7.46 $\pm$ 4.83	-	-
<b><i>Nutrients intake characteristics</i></b>			
<b>Protein (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	93.68 $\pm$ 29.12	99.96 $\pm$ 75.46	0.568
<b>Protein (% ,mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	14.85 $\pm$ 2.80	16.23 $\pm$ 4.65	0.425
<b>Plant protein (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	29.96 $\pm$ 9.66	33.57 $\pm$ 21.93	0.357



<b>Animal protein (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	63.18 $\pm$ 24.13	62.47 $\pm$ 58.47	0.957
<b>Isoleucine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4458.11 $\pm$ 1496.61	4599.65 $\pm$ 3792.63	0.848
<b>Leucine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	6983.97 $\pm$ 2221.17	7068.27 $\pm$ 5839.38	0.891
<b>Lysine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	6250.27 $\pm$ 2179.48	6278.94 $\pm$ 5467.03	0.984
<b>Methionine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	2239.36 $\pm$ 780.15	2271.95 $\pm$ 1926.90	0.892
<b>Cysteine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1383.29 $\pm$ 472.16	1439.83 $\pm$ 1166.61	0.816
<b>Phenylalanine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4114.73 $\pm$ 1263.90	4146.77 $\pm$ 3347.64	0.877
<b>Tyrosine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	3209.89 $\pm$ 1039.22	3283.78 $\pm$ 2672.79	0.849
<b>Threonine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	3863.20 $\pm$ 1228.12	3815.26 $\pm$ 3163.93	0.997
<b>Tryptophan (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1188.31 $\pm$ 394.34	1198.34 $\pm$ 958.52	0.993
<b>Valine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	5191.08 $\pm$ 1665.12	5298.30 $\pm$ 4106.18	0.892
<b>Arginine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4888.12 $\pm$ 1758.15	4576.94 $\pm$ 4206.69	0.978
<b>Histidine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	2707.23 $\pm$ 1143.10	2981.33 $\pm$ 3214.32	0.625
<b>Alanine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4634.32 $\pm$ 1659.24	4737.37 $\pm$ 4141.61	0.923
<b>Asparagine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	8490.52 $\pm$ 2496.43	8255.48 $\pm$ 6311.51	0.885
<b>Glutamine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	17815.08 $\pm$ 5297.23	18104.36 $\pm$ 14467.93	0.883
<b>Glycine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4186.71 $\pm$ 1564.71	4248.31 $\pm$ 3617.25	0.987
<b>Proline (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	6309.66 $\pm$ 1984.76	6324.83 $\pm$ 4458.99	0.992
<b>Serine (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4422.48 $\pm$ 1412.28	4488.42 $\pm$ 3350.02	0.859
<b>Carbohydrates (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	306.20 $\pm$ 84.21	315.37 $\pm$ 155.45	0.663
<b>Carbohydrates (% , mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	46.85 $\pm$ 8.74	49.85 $\pm$ 11.04	0.534
<b>Saccharose (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	76.34 $\pm$ 48.53	73.09 $\pm$ 67.50	0.964
<b>Saccharose (% , mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	11.96 $\pm$ 6.64	12.57 $\pm$ 8.32	0.658
<b>Dietary fibre (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	19.45 $\pm$ 6.59	24.12 $\pm$ 14.54	0.122
<b>Saturated fatty acids (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	39.88 $\pm$ 17.41	31.53 $\pm$ 21.81	0.245
<b>Monounsaturated fatty acids (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	44.34 $\pm$ 19.49	37.55 $\pm$ 30.79	0.641
<b>Polyunsaturated fatty acids (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	15.36 $\pm$ 6.94	13.92 $\pm$ 10.97	0.988
<b>Omega-3 fatty acids (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1.85 $\pm$ 1.00	2.63 $\pm$ 5.49	0.401
<b>Omega-6 fatty acids (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	13.50 $\pm$ 6.37	11.27 $\pm$ 9.15	0.651
<b>Fat (g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	107.85 $\pm$ 40.18	94.25 $\pm$ 65.47	0.670

<b>Fat (% , mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	38.28 $\pm$ 7.79	33.90 $\pm$ 10.64	0.323
<b>Cholesterol (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	407.55 $\pm$ 250.38	389.05 $\pm$ 340.56	0.890
<b>Vitamin A (<math>\mu</math>g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1409.59 $\pm$ 2192,74	1124.85 $\pm$ 893.31	0.609
<b><math>\beta</math>-caroten (<math>\mu</math>g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	2749.71 $\pm$ 2578.09	4602.94 $\pm$ 4740.09	0.062
<b>Vitamin D (<math>\mu</math>g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4.32 $\pm$ 3.82	3.35 $\pm$ 4.29	0.395
<b>Vitamin E (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	10.19 $\pm$ 4.84	11.54 $\pm$ 8.64	0.492
<b>Vitamin C (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	55.59 $\pm$ 41.26	135.41 $\pm$ 132.17	0.005
<b>Folacin (<math>\mu</math>g, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	276.77 $\pm$ 79.16	354.92 $\pm$ 225.58	0.102
<b>Vitamin B<sub>1</sub> (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1.37 $\pm$ 0.53	1.44 $\pm$ 1.30	0.814
<b>Vitamin B<sub>2</sub> (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1.65 $\pm$ 0.80	1.76 $\pm$ 0.93	0.665
<b>Vitamin B<sub>6</sub> (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1.86 $\pm$ 0.57	1.99 $\pm$ 1.44	0.667
<b>Vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4.43 $\pm$ 5.16	3.92 $\pm$ 3.62	0.679
<b>Niacin (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	21.02 $\pm$ 8.46	24.41 $\pm$ 24.59	0.512
<b>Natrium (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	2732.94 $\pm$ 1281.00	2573.43 $\pm$ 2548.40	0.777
<b>Potassium (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	3781.44 $\pm$ 866.57	3835.52 $\pm$ 1858.89	0.894
<b>Calcium (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	653.09 $\pm$ 333.52	733.21 $\pm$ 506.29	0.388
<b>Phosphorus (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1416.42 $\pm$ 409.58	1508.32 $\pm$ 999.62	0.667
<b>Magnesium (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	336.27 $\pm$ 118.98	360.77 $\pm$ 232.01	0.635
<b>Iron (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	12.79 $\pm$ 5.14	13.31 $\pm$ 9.07	0.800
<b>Manganese (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	4.33 $\pm$ 2.09	5.30 $\pm$ 3.69	0.248
<b>Copper (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	1.28 $\pm$ 0.36	1.33 $\pm$ 0.69	0.736
<b>Zinc (mg, mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	11.82 $\pm$ 3.92	11.91 $\pm$ 9.42	0.964
<b>Ca/P ratio (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	0.46 $\pm$ 0.19	0.50 $\pm$ 0.22	0.344
<b>Na/K ratio (mean <math>\pm</math> SD)</b>	0.73 $\pm$ 0.33	0.72 $\pm$ 0.61	0.910

Table 1. Descriptive statistics.

In the CG higher calories, protein, amino acids, saturated fatty acids (SFA), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), vitamin D, B<sub>12</sub> and sodium intake negatively correlated with a fat content but not with weight and/or BMI (table 2). These correlations with a fat content were not observed in HDG (table 3). In HDG, the higher intake of  $\beta$ -caroten correlated with lower fat content but not weight, BMI and annual BMI shift and in opposite, higher intake of vitamin D positively correlated with higher fat content, higher BMI and lower annual BMI decrement. Intake of calories, amino acids (with the exception of arginine), cholesterol, vitamin A, B<sub>12</sub> and Ca/P ratio was significantly reduced among the age in HDG when this phenomenon in CG was not observed (table 3). Lower intake of calories and listed nutrients did not correlate with disease severity measured in scales used (table 3).

	<b>Body mass</b>	<b>BMI</b>	<b>Fat content</b>
<b>Calories intake</b>	0.238	- 0.069	- 0.616**
<b>Carbohydrates [%]</b>	0.369	0.290	0.013
<b>Saccharose [%]</b>	0.145	0.111	- 0.006
<b>Fat [%]</b>	- 0.425*	- 0.345	0.035
<b>SFA</b>	0.000	- 0.267	- 0.549*
<b>MUFA</b>	0.033	- 0.197	- 0.556**
<b>Omega-3 fatty acids</b>	0.074	- 0.143	- 0.344
<b>Cholesterol</b>	0.307	0.013	- 0.486*
<b>Protein [g]</b>	0.221	- 0.051	- 0.536**
<b>Plant protein</b>	0.292	0.014	- 0.442*
<b>Animal protein</b>	0.186	- 0.080	- 0.552**
<b>Isoleucine</b>	0.249	- 0.023	- 0.567**
<b>Leucine</b>	0.222	- 0.063	- 0.580**
<b>Lysine</b>	0.230	- 0.037	- 0.557**
<b>Methionine</b>	0.256	- 0.027	- 0.564**
<b>Cysteine</b>	0.310	0.000	- 0.575**
<b>Phenylalanine</b>	0.234	- 0.057	- 0.585**
<b>Tyrosine</b>	0.211	- 0.068	- 0.575**
<b>Threonine</b>	0.232	- 0.040	- 0.572**
<b>Tryptophan</b>	0.280	0.014	- 0.569**
<b>Valine</b>	0.264	- 0.013	- 0.574**
<b>Arginine</b>	0.169	- 0.116	- 0.576**
<b>Asparagine</b>	0.284	0.015	- 0.552**
<b>Glutamine</b>	0.242	- 0.057	- 0.587**
<b>Glycine</b>	0.288	0.045	- 0.531**
<b>Proline</b>	0.211	- 0.068	- 0.588**
<b>Serine</b>	0.254	- 0.034	- 0.587**
<b>Vitamin A</b>	0.277	0.028	- 0.346
<b>Vitamin D</b>	0.123	- 0.132	- 0.445*
<b>β-caroten</b>	0.220	0.034	- 0.233
<b>Vitamin B<sub>12</sub></b>	- 0.010	- 0.219	- 0.486*
<b>Natrium</b>	0.030	- 0.194	- 0.501**
<b>Manganese</b>	0.197	0.137	- 0.268
<b>Ca/P ratio</b>	- 0.419*	- 0.382	- 0.079

Table 2. Correlations between anthropometric measurements and nutrients intake – control group.

\*  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.01$

MUFA – Mono Unsaturated Fatty Acids

SFA – Saturated Fatty Acids

	Body mass	BMI	Annual BMI	Fat content	Age	Disease burden	Disease duration	Motor TMS	Chorea	Functional
<b>Calories intake</b>	-0.013	-0.216	-0.058	-0.051	-0.484*	0.282	0.040	-0.139	-0.129	0.225
<b>Carbohydrates [%]</b>	-0.083	-0.205	-0.356	-0.377	0.174	0.117	0.156	0.347	0.418*	-0.401*
<b>Saccharose [%]</b>	0.056	-0.340	-0.358	-0.337	-0.081	0.226	0.184	0.194	0.239	-0.274
<b>Fat [%]</b>	0.032	0.102	0.299	0.323	-0.159	-0.105	-0.247	-0.372	-0.469*	0.374
<b>SFA</b>	0.067	-0.094	0.033	0.140	-0.435*	0.079	0.055	-0.267	-0.302	0.330
<b>MUFA</b>	-0.015	-0.124	0.192	0.177	-0.327	0.126	-0.152	-0.285	-0.331	0.298
<b>Omega-3 fatty acids</b>	0.041	-0.062	0.062	0.132	-0.174	0.159	-0.409*	-0.127	-0.223	-0.284
<b>Cholesterol</b>	0.283	0.066	-0.014	-0.158	-0.507**	0.044	0.026	-0.242	-0.215	0.176
<b>Protein [g]</b>	0.041	-0.002	0.100	0.086	-0.413*	0.133	0.116	-0.135	-0.126	0.275
<b>Plant protein</b>	-0.193	-0.043	0.061	0.001	-0.256	0.207	-0.197	0.024	0.062	0.185
<b>Animal protein</b>	0.150	0.005	0.101	0.100	-0.399*	0.069	0.225	-0.162	-0.189	0.257
<b>Isoleucine</b>	0.055	-0.032	0.095	0.068	-0.457*	0.127	0.102	-0.128	-0.144	0.251
<b>Leucine</b>	0.064	-0.009	0.055	0.059	-0.418*	0.147	0.120	-0.102	-0.120	0.260
<b>Lysine</b>	0.111	0.026	0.113	0.123	-0.413*	0.092	0.186	-0.143	-0.177	0.268
<b>Methionine</b>	0.113	0.050	0.150	0.095	-0.417*	0.074	0.098	-0.145	-0.150	0.268
<b>Cysteine</b>	0.033	0.027	0.123	0.058	-0.508**	0.177	0.019	-0.081	-0.047	0.216
<b>Phenylalanine</b>	0.066	0.033	0.043	0.032	-0.415*	0.150	0.098	-0.133	-0.147	0.290
<b>Tyrosine</b>	0.048	0.042	0.001	0.054	-0.403*	0.121	0.127	-0.123	-0.153	0.300
<b>Threonine</b>	0.111	0.037	0.145	0.105	-0.412*	0.128	0.146	-0.139	-0.147	0.280
<b>Tryptophan</b>	0.037	-0.101	0.012	0.010	-0.479*	0.112	0.124	-0.144	-0.171	0.257
<b>Valine</b>	0.079	-0.031	0.033	0.036	-0.466*	0.139	0.127	-0.120	-0.132	0.259
<b>Arginine</b>	-0.013	0.139	0.249	0.154	0.23	0.007	-0.003	-0.169	-0.187	0.341

					1					
<b>Asparagine</b>	0.193	0.053	0.132	0.075	- 0.44 3*	0.133	0.139	-0.200	-0.193	0.322
<b>Glutamine</b>	-0.017	-0.083	0.045	0.049	- 0.41 2*	0.191	0.118	-0.054	-0.063	0.225
<b>Glycine</b>	0.046	0.024	0.217	0.146	- 0.42 1*	0.049	0.084	-0.164	-0.183	0.262
<b>Proline</b>	-0.047	-0.187	-0.115	-0.020	- 0.42 0*	0.192	0.222	-0.044	-0.062	0.200
<b>Serine</b>	0.071	-0.027	-0.018	-0.002	- 0.48 0*	0.155	0.124	-0.104	-0.130	0.255
<b>Vitamin A</b>	0.202	0.058	-0.207	-0.281	- 0.08 5	0.294	0.235	0.059	0.177	-0.024
<b>Vitamin D</b>	0.338	0.643 **	0.553**	0.399*	- 0.03 8	-0.014	-0.099	-0.131	0.010	0.261
<b>β-caroten</b>	0.005	-0.170	-0.163	-0.431*	0.26 0	0.038	0.056	-0.100	0.154	-0.161
<b>Vitamin B<sub>12</sub></b>	0.158	-0.034	-0.221	-0.257	- 0.17 2	0.277	0.214	0.035	0.074	0.036
<b>Natrium</b>	-0.031	-0.067	0.118	0.117	- 0.31 5	-0.203	0.043	-0.318	-0.390*	-0.397*
<b>Manganese</b>	0.088	0.322	0.252	0.233	0.23 5	-0.079	-0.092	-0.105	0.063	0.259
<b>Ca/P ratio</b>	-0.256	-0.255	-0.434*	-0.147	0.17 5	-0.099	0.128	0.161	0.056	-0.127

Table 3a. Correlations between anthropometric measurements/clinimetric tests' results and nutrients intake – Huntington's disease group.

	TFC	Independence	GCI	Cognitive	Verbal fluency test	SDMT	Stroop's test
Calories intake	0.177	0.195	-0.011	0.020	-0.105	0.079	0.121
Carbohydrates [%]	-0.454*	0.074	0.300	-0.464*	-0.253	-0.487*	-0.561**
Saccharose [%]	-0.321	-0.146	0.251	-0.375	-0.200	-0.309	-0.475*
Fat [%]	0.456*	-0.198	-0.335	0.472*	0.287	-0.487*	0.545**
SFA	0.318	0.013	-0.173	0.226	0.023	0.289	0.356
MUFA	0.373	-0.028	-0.192	0.287	0.102	0.329	0.393*
Omega-3 fatty acids	0.395*	-0.059	-0.206	0.262	0.151	0.308	0.303
Cholesterol	0.134	0.180	-0.072	0.066	0.003	0.058	0.114
Protein [g]	0.208	0.307	-0.024	0.091	-0.065	0.143	0.207
Plant protein	0.066	0.441*	0.094	-0.086	-0.202	-0.007	0.024
Animal protein	0.231	0.186	-0.074	0.142	0.000	0.177	0.239
Isoleucine	0.203	0.253	-0.028	0.106	-0.037	0.154	0.208
Leucine	0.200	0.277	-0.008	0.076	-0.082	0.130	0.197
Lysine	0.219	0.213	-0.072	0.138	0.002	0.164	0.230
Methionine	0.229	0.296	-0.039	0.116	-0.042	0.177	0.228
Cysteine	0.150	0.390*	0.019	0.025	-0.092	-0.050	0.124
Phenylalanine	0.224	0.319	-0.035	0.071	-0.100	0.134	0.204
Tyrosine	0.236	0.257	-0.049	0.107	-0.073	0.171	0.241
Threonine	0.216	0.309	-0.030	0.115	-0.054	0.167	0.238
Tryptophan	0.206	0.250	-0.056	0.105	-0.025	0.137	0.198
Valine	0.194	0.258	-0.023	0.084	-0.058	0.133	0.189
Arginine	0.253	0.434*	-0.099	0.171	-0.011	0.211	0.298
Asparagine	0.247	0.296	-0.064	0.115	-0.033	0.156	0.222
Glutamine	0.159	0.302	0.023	0.010	-0.127	0.074	0.124
Glycine	0.239	0.276	-0.078	0.154	0.025	0.163	0.240
Proline	0.144	0.236	0.009	-0.034	-0.141	-0.001	0.067
Serine	0.180	0.287	-0.019	0.055	-0.084	0.085	0.168
Vitamin A	-0.247	0.072	0.288	-0.145	-0.134	-0.115	-0.132
Vitamin D	0.159	0.242	0.041	0.198	0.042	0.331	0.275
$\beta$ -caroten	-0.117	0.152	0.204	-0.299	-0.234	-0.320	-0.293
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub>	-0.172	0.045	0.217	-0.063	-0.092	-0.019	-0.033
Natrium	0.406*	0.169	-0.284	0.264	0.081	0.328	0.367
Manganese	0.130	0.496**	0.007	0.092	-0.167	0.253	0.282
Ca/P ratio	-0.047	-0.323	-0.049	-0.084	-0.014	-0.109	-0.128

Table 3b. Correlations between anthropometric measurements/clinimetric tests' results

and nutrients intake – Huntington's disease group.

\*  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.01$

BMI – Body Mass Index; SDMT - Symbol-Digit Modality Test; TMS – Total Motor Score; MUFA – Mono Unsaturated Fatty Acids; GCI - Global Clinical Impression; TFC - Total Functional Capacity; SFA – Saturated Fatty Acids

In the HDG, BMI positively correlated with some clinimetric tests' results like UHDRS functional, Symbol-Digit Modality Test (SDMT), Stroop's test and negatively with TMS (Total Motor Score), indicated better clinical status of these patients with higher BMI (table 4).

	Body mass	BMI	Annual BMI	Fat content	Age	Disease burden	Disease duration
<b>Motor TMS</b>	- 0.405 *	- 0.439*	-0.193	-0.332	0.123	0.373	0.312
<b>Chorea</b>	-0.154	-0.191	-0.074	-0.189	0.210	0.310	0.367
<b>Functional</b>	0.427 *	0.485*	0.112	0.417*	-0.186	-0.239	- 0.398*
<b>TFC</b>	0.390 *	0.361	0.070	0.375	-0.144	-0.387	- 0.455*
<b>GCI</b>	-0.175	-0.276	-0.088	-0.360	0.163	0.401*	0.371
<b>Cognitive</b>	0.173	0.380	0.176	0.557**	-0.266	- 0.401*	-0.287
<b>Verbal fluency test</b>	-0.009	0.194	0.091	0.424*	- 0.402*	-0.320	-0.253
<b>SDMT</b>	0.346	0.484*	0.214	0.570**	-0.092	- 0.396*	-0.302
<b>Stroop's test</b>	0.272	0.453*	0.210	0.563**	-0.119	- 0.395*	-0.257
<b>Behaviour</b>	-0.178	-0.370	-0.206	- 0.554**	0.319	0.073	0.146

Table 4. Correlations between anthropometric measurements and clinimetric tests' results – Huntington's disease group.

\*  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.01$

BMI – Body Mass Index

GCI - Global Clinical Impression

SDMT - Symbol-Digit Modality Test

TFC - Total Functional Capacity

TMS – Total Motor Score



In this study fat intake seemed to improve physical and mental functioning of patients with HD. Higher intake of fat improved TFC outcome, cognitive and Stroop's test. On the other hand, intake of carbohydrates seemed to worsen physical as well as mental skills. Carbohydrates aggravated outcome in UHDRS functional scores, TFC, cognitive, SDMT and Stroop's test. Furthermore, higher intake of saccharose also correlated with worse outcome in Stroop's test. Higher intake of plant proteins, cysteine, arginine and manganese correlated with better outcome in Independence scale.

Linear Regression in HDG revealed that predictor of BMI was vitamin D intake and TMS, predictor of annual BMI shift was vitamin D intake, predictor of body mass was UHDRS functional scores, and predictor of fat content was Stroop's test result (table 5).

<b>Dependent variable</b>	<b>Independent variables</b>	<b><math>\beta</math> coefficient</b>	<b>F value</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>BMI shift</b>	<b>Vitamin D</b>	0,553	10,59	0,003
	<b>BMI</b>	0,083		0,718
	<b>Ca/P</b>	-0,199		0,328
<b>Body mass</b>	<b>Functional</b>	0,427	5,36	0,029
	<b>Motor TMS</b>	-0,157		0,652
	<b>TFC</b>	-0,003		0,994
<b>Fat content</b>	<b>Stroop's Test</b>	0,563	11,14	0,003
	<b>Behavioural</b>	-0,364		0,060
	<b>Cognitive</b>	0,262		0,583
	<b>Verbal Fluency Test</b>	0,108		0,634

Table 5. Results of linear regression with annual BMI shift, body mass and fat content as a dependent variable among HDG (n=26)

BMI – Body Mass Index

TMS – Total Motor Score

TFC – Total Functional Capacity

## 5. Discussion

Weight loss, which leads to deterioration in overall state of health, was often reported in individuals with HD [11, 17, 18]. Moreover, patients with a larger CAG expansion presented faster weight loss [16]. Clinically weight loss of  $\geq 1$  kg/year correlated with more severe motor impairment and chorea in patients with HD [19]. The weight loss occurred even when caloric intake is adequate or higher than energy needs [19]. In this study no significant differences between groups in respect to weight, height, BMI and fat content were observed. Higher caloric intake reported earlier in HD patients was not confirmed in this study [11, 13].

Patients with HD consumed significantly less than controls and inadequate amount of vitamin C. Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA) for vitamin C equals 90 mg for men and 75 mg for women [20], therefore HDG seems to be slightly undernourished with vitamin C. The reason of decreased vitamin C consumption in HDG may be mastication difficulties and choking episodes. Rich sources of vitamin C are vegetables and fruits and those food products are often tough and difficult to chew causing problems in HD patients who display tongue and cheeks involuntary movements. Furthermore, the mean value of consumed iron in HDG was low ( $\pm 12.79$  mg) and in conjunction with low intake of vitamin C, which significantly increases Fe absorption, risk of iron deficiency development and consecutively anaemia is higher.

It was previously reported that patients with HD have lower plasma amino acids levels, especially branched chain amino acids (BCAA) levels [11]. We did not found statistically significant differences in amino acids intake between both groups but the intake reduction with age could be possible explanation for lower plasma BCAA concentration especially in older HD patients. Higher amount of amino acids especially exogenous leucine, isoleucine and valine in a diet of HD patients could therefore help to correct deficits in serum [21]. BCAA are crucial for proper muscle protein metabolism and they increase protein synthesis [22].

It was reported that higher BMI at HD onset was associated with slower HD progression. Those patients with higher BMI exhibit better outcome in motor performance measured using UHDRS, milder chorea and less incidences of depression

[19]. Study conducted on elderly demented patients revealed that higher BMI value correlated with better cognitive status measured with MMSE (Mini Mental State Examination) [14]. We confirmed previously reported phenomenon that HD patients with higher BMI are cognitively and physically better.

Here we reported also that patients with higher fat content function better and their behavior is less affected and this observation reflect previous reports from patients with dementia [14]. This confirms the hypothesis that HD affected individuals need more energy to maintain body weight and support metabolic processes with a special pressure on these metabolic processes in brain. Patients with HD exhibit mitochondrial dysfunction and calcium dyshomeostasis. Increased mitochondrial pores permeability and toxic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  influx may also affect energy balance of patients with HD [23].

It is believed that high intake of calcium causes decrease in PTH and consecutively decrease in cytosolic  $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$  in human adipocytes switching their metabolism from lipogenesis to lipolysis [24, 25]. As we observed that annual BMI decrement is higher in HD patients with higher Ca compared to P intake then is important to avoid high amount of calcium in HD diet. Recommended daily allowance (RDA) for calcium equals 1000 mg per day for individuals between 31 and 50 year of age [26]. Higher vitamin D intake in patients with HD results in higher BMI value. Calcium is important for appropriate action of vitamin D which is also known as a hormonal vitamin. Vitamin D affects bone metabolism, so sun exposition is advisable.

The total intake of fat was higher than dietary recommendations in both groups (HDG: 38.28% and CG: 33.90% of total consumed calories). This finding is in conjunction with results obtained in research conducted on healthy Polish population [27, 28]. Intake of fat is not statistically significant but higher in HDG than CG. Moreover, this study revealed that also intake of saturated fatty acids (HDG: 39.88 g vs CG: 31.53 g) is also higher in HDG but this difference is not statistically significant. In the general population higher intake of fat, especially in the form of saturated fatty acids is not advisable due to the deteriorative effect on lipid profile. Excessive amount of saturated fatty acids in a daily diet may lead to the development of hypercholesterolaemia and therefore cardiovascular diseases. Fat in a diet is associated with high palatability and this may be the reason of its increased

consumption. Ingestion of fat causes release of endocannabinoids and consequently fat storage. Here we proved that total fat intake seemed to improve physical and mental functioning of patients with HD. Higher intake of fat improved TFC outcome, cognitive and Stroop's test. So it is important to ensure adequate amounts of high quality fat in daily diet patients with HD in order to prevent from decline in physical and mental ability.

Average carbohydrates intake was reported to be higher in HD patients than in controls [17], but it was not observed here. Some authors indicated that increased consumption of carbohydrates triggers mild cognitive impairment in elderly people. Above finding was confirmed in this research. Patients with HD who consumed more carbohydrates were cognitively worse and obtained worse outcomes in motor tests. Furthermore, higher intake of saccharose contributed to deterioration in intellectual ability. It was already demonstrated that a surplus of simple carbohydrates in opposite to complex carbohydrates causes decline in memory performance. It is also worth to mention that patients with HD exhibit impaired glucose regulation and it may directly affect their cognitive function [17].

In HDG TMS and vitamin D level significantly contributed to BMI namely higher vitamin D intake increased BMI when higher TMS reduced BMI. Longitudinally annual BMI downward shift is reduced by higher vitamin D intake. So adequate intake of vitamin D allow to maintain proper body weight also in a long-term perspective. Therefore, it is important to ensure adequate amount of vitamin D in a diet of HD patients in order to prevent from weight loss. Adequate intake of vitamin D in a daily diet equals 15  $\mu\text{g}$  of cholecalciferol. In this research mean amount of consumed vitamin D was below recommendation (mean value 4.32  $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ ).

Lower value of BMI is associated with worse outcome in TMS. Increased body weight contributed to better physical performance. In many studies scientists revealed that weight loss often precedes motor abnormalities [13, 16]. Subsequently, regression analysis revealed that higher fat content is associated with better outcome in Stroop's test. Body fat influences intellectual skills and in this context of great importance is balanced nutritive dietary plan to preserve proper body fat content.

In CG, as it was expected lower intake of fat in a daily diet contributed to lower body mass. This finding was not confirmed in HDG. Furthermore, lower

calories intake was associated with lower fat content and interestingly this relation was also not confirmed in HDG. It seems that in patients with HD factors other than calories and fat intake make a contribution to the extent of body fat content.

## **6. Conclusions**

This is the first paper considering the composition of nutritious dietary plans for patients with HD in order to prevent weight loss which occur in advanced stages of HD. Balanced diet for people with HD should cover their increased caloric requirements and be tailored to personal disabilities like choking episodes or mastication difficulties. Of great importance is an adequate fat intake which in turn triggers to preservation of body fat content and improves physical and mental ability in patients with HD. There is a need to find some modern strategies helping affected people to improve nutritional status and therefore prevent from cognitive as well as physical decline. Dietary counseling and monitoring nutrition risk indicators in times when casual treatment for HD patients is not yet available should be an integral part of treatment of patients with HD in order to provide better overall assistance.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank patients for taking part in this research and EHDN professionals for language correction.

## **Appendix 1**

The UHDRS'99 motor assessment includes 15 items with five response options (0-4), for a maximum total score of 124, with higher scores reflecting more severe disease, progression in this scale therefore is defined as scores' increase between subsequent visits; the functional assessment includes 25 items describing patients potential independence in particular functions with response options yes or no, score range 0-25, where lower scores reflect lower functional capacity, progression in this scale therefore is defined as scores' decrease between subsequent visits; the cognitive assessment consists of 5 items: verbal fluency test, symbol-digit modality test (called symbol test), and 3 Stroop tests: word naming, word reading and interference, with lower scores reflecting poorer cognitive function, progression in this scale therefore is defined as scores' decrease between subsequent visits; the behavioural assessment includes 11 items with 5 response options (0-4) in 2 sections ('frequency' and

‘severity’) and 5 rater marks with answers yes or no, higher scores express disease severity, progression in this scale therefore is defined as scores’ increase between subsequent visits; independence scale, where the subject’s independence is measured in percents with 100% reflecting complete independence, progression in this scale therefore is defined as scores’ decrease between subsequent visits. The TFC scale, a standardized measure to assess capacity to work, handle finances, perform domestic chores and self-care tasks, and live independently was also administered; the scale ranges from 13 (normal) to 0 (severe disability) , progression in this scale therefore is defined as scores’ decrease between subsequent visits.

### References

1. Aziz NA, Van der Marck MA, Pijl H, Rikkert MGMO, Bloem BR, Roos RAC. Weight loss in neurodegenerative disorders. *J Neurol* 2008; 255:1872-1880.
2. Bortvedt SF, McLear JA, Messer A, Ahern-Rindell AJ, Wolfgang WJ. Cystamine and intrabody co-treatment confers additional benefits in a fly model of Huntington’s disease. *Neurobiol Dis* 2010; 40(1):130-134.
3. Gaba AM, Zhang K, Marder K, Moskowitz CB, Werner P, Boozer CN. Energy balance in early-stage Huntington disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; 81:1335-1341.
4. Mochel F, Duteil S, Marelli C, Jauffret C, Barles A, Holm J et al. Dietary anaplerotic therapy improves peripheral tissue energy metabolism in patients with Huntington’s disease. *Eur J Hum Gen* 2010; 18:1057-1060.
5. Moreno J, Garcia - Caldenetly J, Regidor I, Alamo M et al. A 5-years follow-up of deep brain stimulation in Huntington’s disease. *Parkinsonism Relate Disord* 2013; 20(2):260 – 261.
6. Mestre TA, Ferreira JJ. An evidence-based approach in the treatment of Huntington’s disease. *Parkinsonism Relate Disord* 2012; 18(4):316 – 320.
7. Shang H, Danek A, Landwehrmeyer B, Burgunder JM. Huntington’s disease: new aspects on phenotype and genotype. *Parkinsonism Relate Disord* 2012; 18(1):107 – 109.
8. Block RC, Dorsey ER, Beck CA, Brenna JT, Shoulson I. Altered cholesterol and fatty acid metabolism in Huntington disease. *J Clin Lipidol* 2010; 4(1):17-23.
9. Phan J, Hickey MA, Zhang P, Chesselet MF, Reue K. Adipose tissue dysfunction tracks disease progression in two Huntington’s disease mouse models. *Hum Mol Genet* 2009; 18 (6):1006-1016.

10. Pratley RE, Salbe AD, Ravussin E, Caviness JN. Higher sedentary energy expenditure in patients with Huntington's disease. *Ann Neurol* 2000; 47:64-70.
11. Morales LM, Estevez J, Suarez H, Villalobos R, Chacin de Bonilla L, Bonilla E. Nutritional evaluation of Huntington's disease patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1989; 50:145-150.
12. Trejo A, Boll MC, Alonso ME, Ochoa A, Velasquez L. Use of oral nutritional supplements in patients with Huntington's disease. *Nutr* 2005; 21:889-894.
13. Marder K, Zhao H, Eberly S, Tanner CM, Oakes D, Sholuson I. Dietary intake in adults at risk for Huntington disease. *Neurol* 2009; 73:385-392.
14. Coin A., Veronese M., De Rui M., Mosele M., Bolzetta F., Girardi A.: Nutritional predictors of cognitive impairment severity in demented elderly patients: The key role of BMI. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2012; 16(6):553-556.
15. Handley O, Van Walsem M, Juni P, Bachoud-Levi AC, Bentivoglio AR, Bonelli RM et al. Study Protocol of Registry - version 2.0 - European Huntington's Disease Network (EHDN). *Hygeia Public Health* 2011; 46:115-82.
16. Aziz NA, Van der Burg JM, Landwehrmeyer GB, Brundin P, Stijnen T, Roos RA.: Weight loss in Huntington disease increases with higher CAG repeat number. *Neurol* 2008; 71:1506-1513.
17. Trejo A, Tarrats RM, Alonso E, Boll MC, Ochoa A, Velasquez A. Assessment of the nutrition status of patients with Huntington's disease. *Nutrition* 2004; 20:192-196.
18. Djousse L, Knowlton B, Marder K, Shoulson I, Myers RH. Weight loss in early stage of Huntington disease. *Neurol* 2002; 59:1325-1330.
19. Hamilton JM, Wolfson T, Peavy GM. Rate and correlates of weight change in Huntington's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004; 75:209-212.
20. Jarosz M, Bulhak-Jachymczyk B. Nutrition standards for human. PZWL, 2008, Warsaw
21. Mochel F, Charles P, Seguin F. Early energy deficit in Huntington disease: identification of a plasma biomarker traceable during disease progression. *PLoS ONE* 2007; 2:647.
22. Howatson G, Hoad M, Goodall S, Tallent J, Bell PG, French D. Exercise-induced muscle damage is reduced in resistance-trained males by branched chain amino acids: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled study. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* 2012; 8, 9(1):20.
23. Reddy PH, Mao P, Manczak M. Mitochondrial structural and functional dynamics in Huntington's disease. *Brain Res Rev* 2009; 61(1):33-48.

24. Davies MK, Heaney RP, Recker RR, Lappe JM, Barger-Lux MJ, Rafferty K et al. Calcium intake and body weight. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000; 85:4635-4638.
25. Zemel MB, Shi H, Greer B, DiRienzo D, Zemel PC. Regulation of adiposity by dietary calcium. *FASEB J* 2000; 14:1132-1138.
26. Ross AC, Manson JE, Abrams SA, Aloia JF, Brannon PM, Clinton SK. The 2011 Report on Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D from the Institute of Medicine: What Clinicians Need to Know. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; 96(1):53-58.
27. Zatońska K, Campos H, Ilow R, Janik-Konieczny K, Różańska D, Regulska-Ilow B et al. Dietary intake and adipose tissue level of specific fatty acids in a selected group from the Lower Silesia population. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2012; 19(3):389-394.
28. Ilow R, Regulska-ilow B, Różańska D, Zatońska K, Dehghan M, Zhang X et al. Assessment of dietary intake in a sample of Polish population – baseline assessment from the prospective cohort ‘PONS’ study. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2011; 18(2):229-234.